



Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013, 168, 597-611. With 5 figures

# Cryptic diversity in coastal Australasia: a morphological and mitonuclear genetic analysis of habitat-forming sibling species

MARC RIUS<sup>1,2\*</sup> and PETER R. TESKE<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre d'Estudis Avançats de Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), Accés a la Cala S. Francesc 14, 17300 Blanes (Girona), Spain

<sup>2</sup>Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, European Way, Southampton SO14 3ZH, UK

<sup>3</sup>Molecular Ecology Laboratory, School of Biological Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia

Received 30 December 2012; revised 9 March 2013; accepted for publication 12 March 2013

Cryptic diversity represents a major challenge to the accurate assessment of biodiversity, but the combined use of genetic and morphological analyses has proven to be a powerful approach to detect it. This is especially important for groups for which genetic information is not yet available. Here, we studied the highly conspicuous habitat-forming *Pyura stolonifera* species complex (Tunicata), which, as has recently been revealed, shows surprising levels of cryptic diversity, but whose systematics and biogeographical patterns in Australasia nonetheless remain poorly understood. We first present detailed taxonomic information of all the species associated with the *P. stolonifera* species complex. We then proceed to describe the results of an exhaustive survey that included south-east Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand. Subsequently, we present morphological and mitonuclear genetic analysis of two unresolved lineages that comprise the species *Pyura praeputialis* and a species that is formally described here (*Pyura doppelgangera sp. nov.*). Although the ranges of these two species overlap on mainland Australia, we found no sites at which both species live in sympatry, and there was no morphological or genetic evidence of hybridization. Taken together, the present study illustrates the usefulness of a combined morphogenetic approach in unravelling overlooked marine diversity in a relatively well-studied region.

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013, **168**, 597–611. doi: 10.1111/zoj.12036

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) intron – Ascidiacea – cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) – phylogeny – Pyuridae.

# INTRODUCTION

Cryptic species are two or more discrete species that, despite being divergent and often reproductively isolated (Gómez *et al.*, 2007), are difficult or impossible to distinguish morphologically and have therefore been classified as a single species (Beheregaray & Caccone, 2007; Pfenninger & Schwenk, 2007). Many cryptic species are differentiated by nonvisual mating signals and/or are under selection that promotes morphological stasis (Bickford et al., 2007). In addition,

With the advent of PCR-based genetic methods two decades ago, the discovery of cryptic species has increased exponentially, suggesting that global

phenotypic plasticity might obscure species-specific morphological characters that are used to delimit species (Mickevich & Johnson, 1976). All this has resulted in the perception that a large number of cryptic species has been historically overlooked (Dirzo & Raven, 2003; Appeltans *et al.*, 2012), an issue that is particularly relevant for marine invertebrates (Knowlton, 1993; López-Legentil & Turon, 2005).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: M.Rius@soton.ac.uk

biodiversity has been vastly underestimated. As discoveries of cryptic species based on genetic methods have often been subsequently confirmed with morphological and/or ecological data (Bickford et al., 2007), the combined use of genetic and morphological methods is often considered the most powerful approach for unravelling cryptic biodiversity. In an effort to standardize genetic species identifications and compare different taxa, it has been proposed that species be characterized using the same genetic marker (Blaxter, 2004). The mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) cvtochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) is now routinely used as the universal barcoding marker for animals, and it has proven very useful in the detection of cryptic biodiversity (Hebert et al., 2004). However, there are numerous problems with mtDNA sequences that can result in mismatches with morphological data. These include the amplifications of pseudogenes (mitochondrial genes integrated into the nuclear genome, Bensasson et al., 2001), effects on mtDNA evolution by inherited microbial symbionts (Hurst & Jiggins, 2005), and bias in the rate of introgression across hybrid zones towards mtDNA (Chan & Levin, 2005) that can result in the complete replacement of the mitochondrial genome of one species with that of another (Irwin, Rubtsov & Panov, 2009). The generation of additional genetic data from one or more nuclear loci not only serves to confirm the results of the mtDNA data, but in addition, such biparentally inherited markers can provide information on reproductive isolation between cryptic species. Compliance with the classical definition of species through reproductive incompatibility (the biological species concept of Mayr, 1942) provides a particularly strong justification for treating genetically distinct evolutionary lineages as distinct species.

In the present study, we used a combined morphological and mitonuclear genetic approach to resolve cryptic speciation within the *Pyura stolonifera* species complex, which has recently revealed surprising levels of cryptic diversity (Rius & Teske, 2011; Teske et al., 2011), but whose systematics and biogeographical patterns in Australasia remain poorly understood. The species within this complex are highly conspicuous components of the rocky shore faunas of coastal regions across three different continents (see Rius & Teske, 2011), with the intertidal zone of temperate Australasia generally dominated by the large and highly conspicuous ascidian Pyura praeputialis (Heller, 1878). The existence of a small form of P. praeputialis, whose distribution is centred around Tasmania, and which has recently been reported along the north coast of New Zealand (Hayward & Morley, 2009; Page, Kelly & Herr, 2012), has long been known (e.g. Kott, 1985). However, both forms have traditionally been treated as a single species,

mainly because of their morphological similarity. Consequently, prior to the finding that it represents a distinct evolutionary lineage (Teske et al., 2011), no taxonomic (Kott, 1985, 2006), biogeographical (Bennett & Pope, 1960) or genetic (Astorga, Guiñez & Castilla, 2009) study has proposed that it might represent a distinct species. Here, we provide a detailed report of the morphology of the two lineages that comprise P. praeputialis (i.e. small and large forms), and compare them with all other species within the P. stolonifera species complex. We also reconstruct phylogenetic relationships of the two P. praeputialis lineages and provide detailed information on their geographical ranges. Based on the analyses conducted in this study, we then proceed to formally describe the small form as Pyura doppelgangera sp. nov.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS TAXONOMIC REVISION

We examined the morphology of specimens that were preserved in 4% formaldehyde solution on collection. Taxonomic identification was carried out by removing the tunic, dissecting the body of the animal, and identifying all internal and external morphological characters. When necessary, specimens were stained with Nile blue sulphate. The specimens examined included members of all the species associated with the *P. stolonifera* species complex (Table 1), except *Pyura dalbyi* Rius & Teske, 2011, whose morphology was described in detail in Rius & Teske (2011). Representative specimens of all species have been deposited in the Iziko South African Museum, Cape Town.

In addition to general observations of the morphological characters through dissection, sections of the siphonal spines from specimens of all species within the species complex were fixed, critical-point dried, sputter-coated with gold, and observed with a Hitachi H2300 scanning electron microscope (SEM). We obtained SEM photographs of all species within the species complex, including *P. dalbyi*.

#### PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

Previous genetic evidence showed strong distinctness between the large and small morphs of *P. praeputialis* based on DNA sequence data from four loci (Teske *et al.*, 2011), but sampling records only included a few sites from Victoria (where three evolutionary lineages within the species complex are present) and Tasmania. In addition, the genetic markers used did not amplify for all specimens. Because of these limitations, the existence of genetically intermediate forms or hybrid populations could not be ruled out.

We analysed genetic differentiation between the two lineages within *P. praeputialis* using DNA

		Site	Latitude (S),		No. individuals
Species	Sampled sites	no.	longitude (E)	Geographical location	examined
Pyura stolonifera	$ m Yzerfontein^*$	1	33°20'49", 18°09'06"	Western Cape, South Africa	5
Pyura herdmani	Mossel Bay*	2	$34^{\circ}10'42'', 22^{\circ}08'41''$	Western Cape, South Africa	1
	Park Rynie*	က	$30^{\circ}19'07'', 30^{\circ}44'32''$	KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa	2
Pyura praeputialis	Balmoral Beach	27	$33^{\circ}49'37'', 151^{\circ}15'22''$	New South Wales, Australia	4
Pyura doppelgangera	Henley Beach <sup>†</sup>	4a	$34^{\circ}55'11'', 138^{\circ}29'31''$	South Australia, Australia	6
sp. nov.	Semaphore Beach	4b	34°51'00″, 138°28'79″	South Australia, Australia	co
	Glenelg†	4d	$34^{\circ}58'50'', 138^{\circ}30'35''$	South Australia, Australia	2
	Port Welshpool <sup>†</sup>	24	$38^{\circ}41'10'', 146^{\circ}27'51''$	Victoria, Australia	1
	Trial Harbour†	12	$41^{\circ}55'52'', 145^{\circ}10'18''$	Tasmania, Australia	1
	$Bridport^{\dagger}$	15	$41^{\circ}00'17'', 147^{\circ}23'38''$	Tasmania, Australia	10
	Bicheno	17	$41^{\circ}52'12'', 148^{\circ}18'12''$	Tasmania, Australia	co C
	Taroona Beach†	20	$42^{\circ}57'06'', 147^{\circ}21'20''$	Tasmania, Australia	15
	North Herekino – Waikiri Stream	32	$35^{\circ}16'19'', 173^{\circ}08'21''$	New Zealand	4
	North Herekino – Hunahuna Stream <sup>*</sup>	33	35°15′13″, 173°07′11″	New Zealand	4
	The Bluff*	34	$34^{\circ}41'06'', 172^{\circ}53'23''$	New Zealand	9
	North Twilight Beach <sup>*</sup>	35	$34^{\circ}29'22'', 172^{\circ}40'56''$	New Zealand	2
	Te Werahi Beach*	36	$34^{\circ}28'10'', 172^{\circ}39'26''$	New Zealand	2
	Parengarenga Harbour	37	34°31'08″, 172°59'26″	New Zealand	4
	Rangiputa	38	$34^{\circ}52'37'', 173^{\circ}23'03''$	New Zealand	9

Table 1. Species, sample sites, and geographical details where the specimens of Pyura spp. used for morphological examination were collected. The small morph

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013, 168, 597-611

Region	Site name	Site no	Latitude (S), longitude (E)	Species	COI	ANT
Australia						
SA	Henley Beach	4a	34°55′11″, 138°29′31″	В	8	6
	Largs Bay	4c	34°47′48″, 138°29′16″	В	4	0
	Glenelg	4d	34°58′50″, 138°30′35″	В	4	8
	Brighton Beach	4e	35°01′03″, 138°30′46″	В	4	0
VIC	Marengo Bay	5	38°46′41″, 143°39′60″	А	$3 + 8^{*}$	$16^{*}$
	Portsea	6	38°19'07", 144°42'44"	А	$8 + 8^{*}$	$14^{*}$
	Cowes	7	38°26′48″, 145°14′23″	А	8*	$16^{*}$
	Kilcunda	8	38°33'23", 145°28'50"	А	30	30
	Walkerville	9	38°51′49″, 146°00′08″	А	5	0
	Port Welshpool	10	38°41′10" 146°27′51"	В	5	20
	Port Albert	11	38°40'24", 146°41'43"	В	$5 + 8^{*}$	$16^{*}$
	Cape Conran	22	37°48′52″, 148°43′36″	А	21	28
	Mallacoota	23	37°34′14″, 149°45′52″	А	18	0
TAS	Trial Harbour	12	41°55′52″, 145°10′18″	В	9*	$6^*$
	Couta Rocks	13	41°10′29″, 144°40′53″	В	3*	4*
	Beauty Point	14	41°09′01″, 146°49′24″	В	8	22
	Bridport	15	41°00′17" 147°23′38"	В	$7^*$	10*
	The Gardens	16	41°10′25″, 148°16′52″	В	7*	$10^{*}$
	Coles Bay	18	42°07′21″, 148°16′57″	В	3*	$6^*$
	Pirates Bay	19	43°01′53″, 147°56′42″	В	$5^*$	$6^*$
	Taroona Beach	20	42°57′06″, 147°21′20″	В	0	4
	Two Tree Point	21	43°20'02", 147°19'32"	В	2	8
NSW	Eden	24	37°04′01″, 149°54′47″	А	16	0
	Ulladulla	25	35°21′35″, 150°29′11″	А	17	0
	Kiama	26	34°40′31″, 150°51′30″	А	14	0
	Black Head	28	32°04′15″, 152°32′55″	А	20	0
	Port Macquarie	29	31°25′47″, 152°55′24″	А	21	0
	Ballina	30	28°52′05″, 153°35′36″	А	19	0
	Fingal Head	31	28°11′56″, 153°34′16″	А	20	38
New Zealand	North Island		Various sites, see Teske et al., 2011	В	8*	84
Chile	Antofagasta	39	23°42′25″, 70°25′51″	А	20	52
	0		Total no. sequences		335	404

**Table 2.** Locations of samples used for genetic analyses of *Pyura praeputialis* (A) and *Pyura doppelgangera* **sp. nov.** (B), and number of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and nuclear adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) intron sequences generated. Sequences generated specifically for this study are marked with asterisks

Acronyms: NSW, New South Wales; SA, South Australia (sites a, c, d and e represent different jetties in Adelaide); TAS, Tasmania; VIC, Victoria. Sites at which neither species was found (A–H in Fig. 4), indicating possible distribution gaps in South Australia and Victoria: A, Coffin Bay National Park (34°37′16″S, 135°28′09″E); B, Port Lincoln (34°43′09″S, 135°52′11″E); C, Whyalla (33°02′24″S, 137°35′34″E); D, Point Souttar (34°53′44″S, 137°16′58″E); E, Edithburgh (35°05′05″S, 137°44′56″E); F, Robe (37°09′28″S, 139°44′59″E); G, Portland (38°20′37″S,141°36′33″E); H, Lakes Entrance (37°52′51″S, 147°59′23″E).

sequence data from COI and nuclear adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) intron sequences. Details of the sampling sites are listed in Table 2, including sites at which neither species was found despite intensive sampling. We strived to obtain both genetic and morphological data from as many specimens as possible (see details in Table 1).

As amplification of the mitochondrial COI gene was unreliable using the universal primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 designed by Folmer *et al.* (1994; see Teske et al., 2011), we designed internal primers to amplify this marker in the two lineages of *P. praeputialis*. Primers PyCOI-F (5'-GAA TTG TCT CAA GTA RGG CAG GT-3') and PyCOI-R (5'-GAC CCY AGC TAA ATG CAA AG-3') were run under the same PCR conditions described previously for the universal primers (Teske et al., 2011), except that the annealing temperature was increased to 55 °C and the MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration reduced to 3 mM. Samples amplified successfully with these primers, and in specimens for which sequences had previously been generated with the universal primers, the overlapping sequence sections were identical. Sequences of the nuclear ANT gene were generated using the primers StolidoANT-F (5'-CAG GGT ATC ATT GTR TAC MGA G-3'; Teske *et al.*, 2011) and *ANTr1* (5'-CCA GAC TGC ATC ATC ATK CGR CGD C-3'; Jarman, Ward & Elliott, 2002). These were amplified and phased as described in Teske *et al.* (2011).

Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed to determine distinct evolutionary lineages. We reconstructed maximum likelihood trees in MEGA v.5 (Tamura et al., 2011) using sequences that were aligned with ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994) and specified default settings. Each allele was represented once (47 COI alleles and 55 ANT alleles). The most suitable evolutionary model for each data set was determined based on the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC) scores using the model selection option in MEGA. For the COI sequences, the Kimura two-parameter model (Kimura, 1980) was chosen, and for the ANT sequences, the BIC score was lowest for the Tamura-Nei model (Tamura & Nei, 1993) and included a  $\Gamma$  distribution parameter (0.2326) with five rate categories. Support for nodes was determined by specifying 1000 bootstrap replications (Felsenstein, 1985). For each species and locus we measured allelic richness with the programme HP-RARE v.1.1 (Kalinowski, 2005), which uses rarefaction to correct for bias in sample size. The number of individuals from the species for which the lower number of sequences had been generated was used for rarefaction. Mean p-distances between species were calculated for each locus in MEGA v.5.

#### RESULTS

#### TAXONOMIC REVISION

We examined the morphology of specimens within the P. stolonifera species complex in detail (see Table 3 for all taxonomic details). They all shared certain characters such as the shape of the siphons with a crossshaped opening, number of branchial folds (six) on both the left and right sides, and three different sizes of oral tentacles. In addition, the ramification complexity (third order branches) and the absence of atrial tentacles at the entrance of the exhalant siphon were consistent characters found in all members of the species complex. The main differences amongst species concern the disposition of the gut and gonads, the shape of the dorsal tubercle, and the morphology of the tunic and the siphonal spines (Fig. 1). Representative specimens were deposited in the Iziko South African Museum, accession numbers: 25990 (P. praeputialis, Balmoral Beach, Sydney, Australia), 26004 (*Pyura herdmani*, Mossel Bay, South Africa), 26005 (*P. herdmani*, Park Rynie, South Africa), 26006 (*P. praeputialis*, Henley Beach, Australia), and 25995 (*P. stolonifera*, Yzerfontein, South Africa) (see Table 1 for details).

#### Species description

## **PYURA DOPPELGANGERA SP. NOV.**

*Material examined:* We examined specimens from a variety of locations (Table 1) and a representative suite of these was deposited in the Iziko South African Museum (accession numbers: 25991 – Port Welshpool, 26008 holotype – Bridport, 26009 paratype – Bridport). Samples from the type locality (Bridport) were either used for morphological or genetic analyses (Tables 1, 2).

Description (holotype and paratype): The specimens (measured in contracted individuals) are 26 and 30 mm in maximum dorsal length, their height (measured from the base to the top of the siphonal area) 34 to 45 mm, and their width 18 to 20 mm. The specimens show a short peduncle that appears from the base. The tunic has sand embedded in it and has no pointed papillae (Fig. 2D). The tunic thickness is thin compared to other representatives of the *P. stolonifera* species complex. Siphonal spines are long and pointed and their bases are slightly expanded (Fig. 1A).

The body wall is orange, but dark purple around the siphons. The body size is 25 to 26 mm in length, 20 to 21 mm in height, and 12 to 15 mm in width. There are anterior longitudinal muscular bands across the body, with circular muscular bands around the siphons. There are 33 to 34 ramified oral tentacles of different sizes (alternating between large and small).

The branchial formula is: right side of the specimen, counting from the endostyle (RE) 3 (12) 1 (12) 2 (13) 3 (12) 2 (16) 2 (15) 2 dorsal lamina (DL) 3 (14) 2 (11) 2 (14) 2 (12) 2 (10) 2 (9) 5 left side, to the endostyle (EL) and RE 5 (11) 2 (10) 3 (10) 2 (14) 3 (12) 1 (15) 2 DL 3 (14) 2 (11) 3 (14) 2 (13) 2 (10) 2 (8) 3 EL. Branchial stigmata are straight and between 9 and 11 are present per mesh. The dorsal tubercle is arranged as a double spiral and is not granulated (Fig. 2F). The dorsal lamina is short and contains small languets (Fig. 2G). The dorsal tubercle shows a characteristic orientation in relation to the line delineated by the dorsal lamina. In this case it is between 40 and 80° to the left (Fig. 2F, G).

The right gonad show the same positioning as in other Australasian forms, forming two rows of lobes. The gonoduct of the right gonad opens 2–3 mm away from the gonad and is perpendicular to the vertical

							No of ro	Gond Gond	bduct tude (mm)		
					- F			0		Ļ	-
Body wa. Species colour*	1 No. oral tentacles	Branchial formula	Branchial formula (large specimen)‡	No. stigmata per mesh†	Dorsal tubercle shape	Dorsal lamina	Right L gonad g	eft Righ onad gona	t Left d gonad	<ul> <li>Digestive secondary gut loop</li> </ul>	Anal border lobes
Pyura Pale orar	ige 26–33	RE 4 (12) 2 (11) 1 (13)	RE 5 (12) 2 (14) 2 (17)	6–16	Double	Absent or	2	0.5~	1 10-22	Medium	Yes
praeputialis to viol	t	2 (14) 2 (14) 1 (14) 3 DL 3 (16) 2 (12) 1 (14)	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ (20) \ 2 \ (21) \ 2 \ (21) \ 4 \\ \mathrm{DL} \ 5 \ (24) \ 3 \ (23) \ 2 \ (19) \end{array}$		spiral cone	short with few					
		2 (13) 1 (11) 1 (9) 2 EL	2 (18) 2 (16) 2 (13) 2 EL			languets					
Pyura Yellow	22 - 24	RE 8 (12) 2 (15) 2 (17)	RE 4 (10) 5 (13) 5 (15)	5-7	Double	Absent	1 1	1.5 - 3	3 18-20	Long	Yes
stolonifera		2 (18) 2 (21) 2 (17) 2 DL 3 (16) 2 (16) 3 (16)	4 (18) 3 (16) 3 (18) 10 DL 8 (22) 3 (16) 3 (19)		spiral						
		3 (14) 4 (12) 3 (8) 3 EL	5 (15) 5 (13) 5 (10) 5 EL								
Pyura Pale brov	vn 18–34	RE 4 (11) 3 (12) 4 (14)	RE 6 (13) 4 (14) 4 (18)	68	Sponge-like	Short with	1 1	5-0	3 10-11	Short	Yes
herdmani to orai	ge	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 6 \ DL \\ 5 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 3 \ (15) \ 3 \end{array}$	3 (22) 3 (23) 3 (23) 5 (23) 6 DL 6 (20) 3 (19) 4 (18)			no languets					
		(14) 3 (13) 3 (12) 5 EL	3 (19) 4 (17) 3 (16) 13 EL								



**Figure 1.** Scanning electron microscope photographs of the siphonal spines of the species comprising the *Pyura stolonifera* species complex. A, *Pyura doppelgangera* sp. nov., B, *Pyura praeputialis*, C, *Pyura dalbyi*, D, *Pyura herdmani*, E, *P. stolonifera*. Scale bars: A = 100 μm; B, D = 40 μm; C = 50 μm; E = 10 μm.

position of the gonad. The left gonad is inside the gut loop and forms a single row of lobes (Fig. 2G). The gonoduct on the left side of the body opens 7–12 mm away from the first gonadic block and is located next to, but separated from, the anal aperture (Fig. 2C). The gut is located on the left side of the body and forms a sharply curved loop that is followed by an extremely short secondary loop just before the anus (Fig. 2G). The hepatic gland is large and branched and contains two bifurcated hepatic lobes. The anal border has fine edges and no lobes (Fig. 2A, B).

Morphological variation: The examination of *P. doppelgangera* specimens (see Table 1) revealed some morphological variation. The maximum dorsal length of the specimen (with tunic) is generally no larger than 55 mm, with the height ranging from 25 to 50 mm and the width from 15 to 35 mm. The tunic thickness is variable and can be as tough as that of

other representatives of the *P. stolonifera* species complex, but some of the specimens examined had an extremely thin and flimsy tunic. Most individuals are aggregated in clumps and some show a short peduncle that appears from the base. The body wall is generally dark purple but it can be orange. The siphons are always dark violet, and there is normally a gradient of colours ranging from orange to purple around the siphons. The body size ranges from 23 to 45 mm in length, 15 to 32 mm in height, and 7 to 21 mm in width (as above, size of contracted specimens). There can be from 18 to 33 ramified oral tentacles (with more tentacles in larger individuals than in smaller ones). The branchial formula of the smallest individual found is: RE 5 (9) 2 (10) 2 (11) 2 (15) 2 (14) 1 (13) 2 DL 2 (14) 1 (12) 1 (14) 2 (13) 2 (11) 1 (10) 1 EL, and that of the largest individual is: RE 6 (12) 2 (12) 3 (14) 2 (16) 2 (12) 1 (17) 3 DL 3 (19) 2 (16) 2 (18) 3 (17) 2 (17) 2 (13) 4 EL. Branchial



**Figure 2.** *Pyura doppelgangera* **sp. nov.** A, anal border (view from above); B, anal border (lateral view); C, gonoduct left side; D, an individual specimen collected in Tasmania. Photograph: Carmen Primo; E, the same individual without tunic. Photograph: Carmen Primo; F, dorsal tubercle and lamina with languets; G, internal structure detailing the position of the hepatic gland, dorsal tubercle, gonad on the right side of the body and the gut and gonad on the left side. Scale bars: A-C = 1 mm; D, E = 20 mm; F = 2 mm; G = 10 mm.

stigmata range from seven to 12 per mesh. The dorsal tubercle is always arranged as a double spiral and in most cases is not granulated. In the few individuals that show granulation, it is considerably less than in *P. praeputialis*. The dorsal lamina is short (containing small languets) or non-existent. The dorsal tubercle is between 20 and 90° to the left of the line delineated by the dorsal lamina, but in a few instances it is found 20° to the right. The gonoduct of the right gonad opens 1 to 6 mm away from the gonad, whereas the gonoduct on the left side of the body is longer (opening approximately 8–12 mm away from the first gonadic block).

*Remarks:* There are a number of distinctive internal characters that are unique to this species (Fig. 2). The four main ones are: (1) the dorsal tubercle is smooth; (2) the anus has no defined lobes; (3) the digestive

track does not have a secondary gut loop or it is short and never curves sharply; (4) the gonoduct on the left side is clearly separated from the anal aperture.

*Distribution:* This species has been found on lower intertidal shores along the entire Tasmanian coast and in northern New Zealand, and exclusively on artificial structures in Victoria and South Australia.

*Etymology:* The species is named *P. doppelgangera* after the German word 'Doppelgänger', which in its narrowest sense means look-alike (i.e. somebody who closely resembles somebody else). The ending 'a' is used to indicate a feminine noun in the nominative singular. The meaning of the name reflects the difficulty of distinguishing this species from *P. praeputialis* because of its similar morphology.

# MORPHOLOGICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN *PYURA PRAEPUTIALIS* AND *PYURA DOPPELGANGERA* SP. NOV.

Although a difference exists regarding the maximum body size of these closely related species, they are indistinguishable externally, and it is therefore necessary to dissect them and compare internal structures. The morphological characters that distinguish these species were subtle and required the dissection of a large number of individuals to define boundaries of variability of each morphological character. The dorsal tubercle is different – smooth in *P. doppelgang*era (Fig. 3A, B) and 'granulated' in P. praeputialis (Fig. 3F, G). The anus has no defined lobes (and no protruded 'lip') in *P. doppelgangera* (Fig. 3D, E), whereas *P. praeputialis* always has lobes (and a protruded 'lip') (Fig. 3I, J). The gut of *P. praeputialis* has a characteristic long secondary loop that generally curves sharply (Fig. 3H), whereas P. doppelgangera has a short secondary loop that never curves sharply, if it is present at all (Fig. 3C). The gonoduct on the left side is clearly separated from the anal aperture in *P. doppelgangera* (Fig. 3E), whereas in P. praeputialis, the gonoduct aperture is below the anus.

Heller (1878) described two similar species: Cynthia stolonifera from South Africa and C. praeputialis from Australia, which were later both considered to be of the genus Pyura (Millar, 1966). Subsequently, Monniot & Bitar (1983) compared specimens from Morocco, Chile, and Australia. The first one corresponded to P. herdmani, but the other two were P. praeputialis, as is evident from the characteristic shape of P. praeputialis' digestive track with a secondary gut loop that curves sharply, something that is not found in P. doppelgangera. As previous taxonomists have described in detail specimens of the large form (P. praeputialis), we considered it appropriate to describe the small form as P. doppelgangera.

# BIOGEOGRAPHY

The distribution of the five species within the *Pyura stolonifera* species complex is shown in Fig. 4. There are two regions – southern Africa and southeastern Australia – where two and three species coexist, respectively. The ranges of *P. praeputialis* and *P. doppelgangera* overlap along the south-eastern coast of Australia. *Pyura doppelgangera* was found both on natural and artificial substrates in Tasmania and New Zealand, and exclusively on artificial structures in Victoria and South Australia, whereas *P. praeputialis* was found on both natural and artificial substrate throughout its range.

## PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

We generated a total of 74 COI sequences and 104 ANT sequences specifically for this study, and combined this data set with all previously published DNA sequences (Table 1). Trimmed COI sequences were 208 bp in length and contained 47 polymorphic positions. Although ANT sequences of the two lineages could be aligned, the trimmed segments were not identical, with the *P. doppelgangera* sequences being 253 bp in length and having 92 additional nucleotides at the 5' end, and the *P. praeputialis* sequences being 175 bp in length and having 14 additional nucleotides at the 3' end. The aligned data set of 267 bp contained 39 variable nucleotide positions and four indels.

The phylogenetic trees with the highest likelihood values reconstructed using COI and ANT sequences (Fig. 5) both recovered P. praeputialis and P. doppelgangera as distinct evolutionary lineages. Pyura praeputialis was found in south-east Australia [east and west of Wilson's Promontory, a location where phylogeographical breaks have been reported in other marine invertebrates (Ayre, Minchinton & Perrin, 2009)] and in Chile. Pyura doppelgangera was found in south-east Australia (east of Wilson's Promontory), South Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand. In the region of overlap in south-east Australia, the two species were never found at the same site (Table 2, Fig. 4), and we did not find a single individual that had ANT intron sequences from both species that would have indicated that they can interbreed. For both loci, *P. praeputialis* had greater allelic richness than P. doppelgangera (COI: P. praeputialis: 38, P. doppelgangera: 5; ANT: P. praeputialis: 38, P. doppelgangera: 15; GenBank accession numbers: see details in Supporting Information, Appendix S1-S4). Mean p-distances between P. praeputialis and P. doppelgangera were 0.10 for COI and 0.09 for ANT.

#### DISCUSSION

The alpha taxonomy of ascidians is characterized by excessive lumping (Knowlton, 2000), and numerous recent studies have identified high levels of cryptic diversity in this group (e.g. Tarjuelo *et al.*, 2001; Pérez-Portela *et al.*, 2007; Teske *et al.*, 2011). Many ascidians are habitat-forming species that can strongly influence community structure of benthic ecosystems, and can be aggressive invasive species (Castilla *et al.*, 2004; Lambert, 2007) with large geographic ranges (Pineda *et al.*, 2012; Rius *et al.*, 2012). Thus, resolving the taxonomy of ascidians is important not only for biodiversity management but also to understand ecosystem functioning. Our study presents an example of how the combined use of morphological, biogeographical, and



© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013, 168, 597-611

**Figure 3.** Morphological differences between *Pyura doppelgangera* sp. nov. (A–E) and *Pyura praeputialis* (F–J). A, dorsal tubercle; B, dorsal tubercle and lamina with languets; C, digestive tract; D, anal border; E, anal border with the gonoduct left side; F, dorsal tubercle (stained with Nile blue sulphate); G, dorsal tubercle and branchial tentacles (stained with Nile blue sulphate); H, digestive tract; I, anal border; J, anal border. Scale bars: A, D–F = 2 mm; B, G, I, J = 1 mm; C = 10 mm; H = 20 mm.



**Figure 4.** World map indicating the known distribution of the different species of the *Pyura stolonifera* species complex. The magnified maps show the sites in south-east Australia and New Zealand at which samples of *Pyura praeputialis* and *Pyura doppelgangera* **sp. nov.** were collected. Site numbers correspond to those used in Tables 1 and 2. Neither lineage was present at sites A–H, indicating possible distribution gaps at sites in South Australia (SA; A–F) and Victoria (Vic; G, H) (see details in Table 2). NSW, New South Wales; TAS, Tasmania.

genetic approaches provides a clear understanding of overlooked ascidian biodiversity in a region (temperate Australasia) that has been comparatively well studied. The existence of a large and small form of the ascidian *P. praeputialis* (often referred to as *P. stolonifera* in the literature) has long been known (Kott, 1985). A previous genetic study suggested that

© 2013 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2013, 168, 597-611



**Figure 5.** Maximum likelihood trees constructed from mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (left; log likelihood: -399.5730) and nuclear adenine nucleotide transporter intron (right; log likelihood: -12170.8682) sequences of *Pyura praeputialis* and *Pyura doppelgangera* **sp. nov.** Nodal support from 1000 bootstrap replications (> 75%) is indicated next to some branches. Circles indicate regions in which a particular allele was present. For simplicity, allele frequencies are not indicated.

the two were geographically isolated with separate ranges in mainland Australia and Tasmania, respectively, which may have driven genetic divergence (Astorga *et al.*, 2009). The present study provides conclusive evidence that the two forms represent two morphologically and genetically distinct species. The support for this is particularly strong on the basis of mitonuclear genetic data, where both loci recovered congruent, highly divergent evolutionary lineages that shared no alleles. Although the two species are indistinguishable on the basis of their external morphology, numerous internal morphological characters were identified that can be used to distinguish them unequivocally from all other species that were traditionally referred to as *P. stolonifera*.

#### BIOGEOGRAPHY AND REPRODUCTIVE ISOLATION

Exhaustive sampling in Australasia revealed that although the distribution of both species is centred on one particular region (the south-east Australian mainland for *P. praeputialis* and Tasmania for *P. doppelgangera*), their ranges overlap in the Australian province of Victoria. Despite this overlap, the two species have so far never been found in sympatry. This, together with the fact that no genetic hybrids were found in this study, may be an indication of reproductive isolation between biological species (Mayr, 1942). However, specific in vitro experiments are required to confirm this conclusively, especially as hybridization is possible between other members of the P. stolonifera species complex (M. Rius, pers. observ.). Interestingly, whereas P. doppelgangera was found mostly on natural substrates in Tasmania, it was exclusively found on artificial structures in Victoria and South Australia. This could be indicative that this species has recently been introduced to mainland Australia, where it has established itself in habitats where *P. praeputialis* is absent. To date this species has only been found in areas where P. praeputialis is not present. We have shown that all reports of *P. praeputialis* as an introduced species in New Zealand correspond to P. doppelgangera (Hayward & Morley, 2009; Page et al., 2012). However, the introduced/native status and the invasion routes that might have shaped the current distribution remain to be tested using multilocus genetic data.

Pyura praeputialis can strongly alter coastal biotas because of its tendency to form dense aggregates (Castilla *et al.*, 2004). Regarding *P. doppelgangera*, aggregations of this type have been reported on artificial structures in South Australia and Victoria (south-east Australia, east of Wilson's Promontory; P. R. Teske, pers. observ.) while the species only forms sporadic clumps on the Tasmanian coastline (Bennett & Pope, 1960). The presence of this species at the northern tip of New Zealand's North Island, where it can be found both on natural and artificial substrata (K. Walls, pers. observ.), suggests that it is only able to survive in the warmest parts of this island.

# Additional cryptic species in the *Pyura stolonifera* complex?

The description of P. doppelgangera has contributed significantly towards resolving the taxonomy of a group of intertidal ascidians that is characterized by a complicated pattern of 'nested' cryptic diversity (Teske et al., 2011). Genetic data further indicate that there are several additional lower-level evolutionary lineages in the *P. stolonifera* species complex that require further scrutiny as they may represent distinct species. This is the case for the African species P. herdmani that occupies clearly defined biogeographical regions in temperate southern Africa, subtropical/tropical southern Africa, and northwestern Africa (Teske et al., 2011). Future studies might reveal cryptic biodiversity and/or patterns of sympatric hybridization with the sympatric species P. stolonifera.

## CONCLUSIONS

Understanding the mechanisms that allow the establishment of range boundaries is important to identify rates of speciation and radiation, both in regions that remain relatively unexplored and in those that have been thoroughly surveyed. We have provided a detailed study of coastal temperate Australasia, the region of the world that harbours the highest diversity of members of the Pyura stolonifera species complex, and where the ascidian fauna of the temperate coasts has been extensively studied (Kott. 1952, 1985, 2006, 2009). In addition, we have formally described a cryptic species that can be found along the coast of mainland Australia, as well as in Tasmania and New Zealand. Taken together, the present study illustrates the usefulness of a combined morphogenetic approach in unravelling overlooked diversity in relatively well-studied regions. Future studies are required to reveal what evolutionary and ecological mechanisms have shaped the current distribution of the species within this species complex.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the following individuals who generously dedicated their time to collect and send samples: Carmen Primo (samples from Tasmania), Katherine Walls and Mike Page (samples from New Zealand), and Jonathan Sandoval-Castillo and Tess Cole (additional samples from Tasmania and the samples from Victoria). We are indebted to Xavier Turon and the staff of the Electron Microscopy Service from the University of Barcelona for their help with the fixation and techniques needed to take the SEM photographs. Our gratitude goes to Annick Loubert and Violaine Leroy for their help with the Latin. We thank two anonymous reviewers whose comments considerably improved the manuscript. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. PIOF-GA-2009-254634 to M.R. and from the Australian Research Council (DP110101275 to Beheregaray, Möller & Waters). This contribution represents manuscript #43 of the Molecular Ecology Group for Marine Research (MEGMAR) at Flinders University.

#### REFERENCES

Appeltans W, Ahyong ST, Anderson G, Angel MV, Artois T, Bailly N, Bamber R, Barber A, Bartsch I, Berta A, Blazewicz-Paszkowycz M, Bock P, Boxshall G, Boyko CB, Brandao SN, Bray RA, Bruce NL, Cairns SD, Chan TY, Cheng L, Collins AG, Cribb T, Curini-Galletti M, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Davie PJF, Dawson MN, De Clerck O, Decock W, De Grave S, De Voogd NJ, Domning DP, Emig CC, Erséus C, Eschmeyer W, Fauchald K, Fautin DG, Feist SW, Fransen CHJM, Furuya H, Garcia-Alvarez O, Gerken S, Gibson D, Gittenberger A, Gofas S, Gómez-Daglio L, Gordon DP, Guiry MD, Hernandez F, Hoeksema BW, Hopcroft RR, Jaume D, Kirk P, Koedam N, Koenemann S, Kolb JB, Kristensen RM, Kroh A, Lambert G, Lazarus DB, Lemaitre R, Longshaw M, Lowry J, MacPherson E, Madin LP, Mah C, Mapstone G, McLaughlin PA, Mees J, Meland K, Messing CG, Mills CE, Molodtsova TN, Mooi R, Neuhaus B, Ng PKL, Nielsen C, Norenburg J, Opresko DM, Osawa M, Paulay G, Perrin W, Pilger JF, Poore GCB, Pugh P, Read GB, Reimer JD, Rius M, Rocha RM, Saiz-Salinas JI, Scarabino V, Schierwater B, Schmidt-Rhaesa A, Schnabel KE, Schotte M, Schuchert P, Schwabe E, Segers H, Self-Sullivan C, Shenkar N. Siegel V. Sterrer W. Stöhr S. Swalla B. Tasker ML, Thuesen EV, Timm T, Todaro MA, Turon X, Tyler S, Uetz P, Van Der Land J, Vanhoorne B, Van Ofwegen LP, Van Soest RWM, Vanaverbeke J, Walker-Smith G, Walter TC, Warren A, Williams GC, Wilson SP, Costello MJ. 2012. The magnitude of global marine species diversity. Current Biology 22: 2189-2202.

- Astorga MP, Guiñez R, Castilla JC. 2009. Genetic divergence in the ascidian Pyura praeputialis (= Pyura stolonifera) (Heller, 1878) from mainland Australia and Tasmania. Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania 143: 101–104.
- Ayre DJ, Minchinton TE, Perrin C. 2009. Does life history predict past and current connectivity for rocky intertidal invertebrates across a marine biogeographic barrier? *Molecular Ecology* 18: 1887–1903.
- Beheregaray LB, Caccone A. 2007. Cryptic biodiversity in a changing world. *Journal of Biology* 6: 9.
- Bennett I, Pope EC. 1960. Intertidal zonation of the exposed rocky shores of Tasmania and its relationship with the rest of Australia. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 11: 182–221.
- Bensasson D, Zhang D-X, Hartl DL, Hewitt GM. 2001. Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **16**: 314–321.
- Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK, Das I. 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in Ecology* and Evolution 22: 148–155.
- Blaxter ML. 2004. The promise of a DNA taxonomy. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 359: 669–679.
- Castilla JC, Guiñez R, Caro AU, Ortiz V. 2004. Invasion of a rocky intertidal shore by the tunicate *Pyura praeputialis* in the Bay of Antofagasta, Chile. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 101: 8517–8524.
- Chan KMA, Levin SA. 2005. Leaky prezygotic isolation and porous genomes: rapid introgression of maternally inherited DNA. *Evolution* 59: 720–729.
- Dirzo R, Raven PH. 2003. Global state of biodiversity and

loss. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 28: 137–167.

- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783–791.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3: 294–299.
- Gómez A, Wright PJ, Lunt DH, Cancino JM, Carvalho GR, Hughes RN. 2007. Mating trials validate the use of DNA barcoding to reveal cryptic speciation of a marine bryozoan taxon. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 274: 199–207.
- Hayward BW, Morley MS. 2009. Introduction to New Zealand of two sea squirts (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) and their subsequent dispersal. *Records of the Auckland Museum* 46: 5–14.
- Hebert PDN, Penton EH, Burns JM, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W. 2004. Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 101: 14812–14817.
- Heller C. 1878. Beiträge zur näheren Kenntniss der Tunicaten. Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaft.
- Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM. 2005. Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 272: 1525–1534.
- Irwin DE, Rubtsov AS, Panov EN. 2009. Mitochondrial introgression and replacement between yellowhammers (*Emberiza citrinella*) and pine buntings (*Emberiza leucocephalos*) (Aves: Passeriformes). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 98: 422–438.
- Jarman SN, Ward RD, Elliott NG. 2002. Oligonucleotide primers for PCR amplification of coelomate introns. *Marine Biotechnology* 4: 347–355.
- Kalinowski ST. 2005. HP-RARE 1.0: a computer program for performing rarefaction on measures of allelic richness. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 5: 187–189.
- **Kimura M. 1980.** A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16**: 111–120.
- Knowlton N. 1993. Sibling species in the sea. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 24: 189–216.
- Knowlton N. 2000. Molecular genetic analyses of species boundaries in the sea. *Hydrobiologia* 420: 73–90.
- Kott P. 1952. The ascidians of Australia I. Stolidobranchiata Lahille and Phlebobranchiata Lahille. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 3: 205–333.
- Kott P. 1985. The Australian Ascidiacea, part 1. Phlebobranchia and Stolidobranchia. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 23: 1–440.
- Kott P. 2006. Observations on non-didemnid ascidians from Australian waters. *Journal of Natural History* 40: 169–234.

- Kott P. 2009. Taxonomic revision of Ascidiacea (Tunicata) from the upper continental slope off north-western Australia. *Journal of Natural History* **43**: 1947–1986.
- Lambert G. 2007. Invasive sea squirts: a growing global problem. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 342: 3–4.
- López-Legentil S, Turon X. 2005. How do morphotypes and chemotypes relate to genotypes? The colonial ascidian *Cystodytes* (Polycitoridae). *Zoologica Scripta* **34:** 3–14.
- Mayr E. 1942. Systematics and the origin of species, from a viewpoint of a zoologist. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Mickevich MF, Johnson MS. 1976. Congruence between morphological and allozyme data in evolutionary inference and character evolution. *Systematic Biology* 25: 260–270.
- Millar RH. 1966. Port Phillip survey 1957–1963. Ascidiacea. Memoirs of the Natural Museum Victoria 27: 357–384.
- Monniot C, Bitar G. 1983. Sur la présence de *Pyura stolo*nifera (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) à Ras Achaccar (côte nord atlantique marocaine). Comparaison anatomique distinctive avec *Pyura praeputialis*. Bulletin de l'Institut Scientifique, Rabat 7: 83–91.
- Page M, Kelly M, Herr B. 2012. Seasquirts common to the ports and harbours of New Zealand. NIWA's Marine Biotechnology Programme. TC media.
- Pérez-Portela R, Duran S, Palacín C, Turon X. 2007. The genus *Pycnoclavella* (Ascidiacea) in the Atlanto-Mediterranean region: a combined molecular and morphological approach. *Invertebrate Systematics* 21: 187–205.
- Pfenninger M, Schwenk K. 2007. Cryptic animal species are homogeneously distributed among taxa and biogeographical regions. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 7: 121.
- Pineda MC, McQuaid CD, Turon X, López-Legentil S, Ordóñez V, Rius M. 2012. Tough adults, frail babies: an

analysis of stress sensitivity across early life-history stages of widely introduced marine invertebrates. *PLoS ONE* 7: e46672.

- **Rius M, Teske PR. 2011.** A revision of the *Pyura stolonifera* species complex (Tunicata, Ascidiacea), with a description of a new species from Australia. *Zootaxa* **2754**: 27–40.
- Rius M, Turon X, Ordóñez V, Pascual M. 2012. Tracking invasion histories in the sea: facing complex scenarios using multilocus data. *PLoS ONE* 7: e35815.
- Tamura K, Nei M. 1993. Estimations of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans in chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution 10: 512–526.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731–2739.
- Tarjuelo I, Posada D, Crandall KA, Pascual M, Turon X. 2001. Cryptic species of *Clavelina* (Ascidiacea) in two different habitats: harbours and rocky littoral zones in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Marine Biology* 139: 455–462.
- Teske PR, Rius M, McQuaid CD, Styan CA, Piggott MP, Benhissoune S, Fuentes-Grünewald C, Walls K, Page M, Attard CRM, Cooke GM, McClusky CF, Banks SC, Barker NP, Beheregaray LB. 2011. "Nested" cryptic diversity in a widespread marine ecosystem engineer: a challenge for detecting biological invasions. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 11: 176.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positionspecific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22: 4673–4680.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article on the publisher's web-site:

**Appendix S1.** The file 'PdoppelCOI\_SuppInfo.mas' contains cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences of *Pyura doppelgangera* (GenBank numbers KC751899-KC751948).

**Appendix S2.** The file 'PdoppelANT\_SuppInfo.fas' contains adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) sequences of *Pyura doppelgangera* (GenBank numbers KC751949-KC752006).

**Appendix S3.** The file 'PpraeCOI\_SuppInfo.mas' contains cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences of *Pyura praeputialis* (GenBank numbers KC751875-KC751898).

**Appendix S4.** The file 'PpraeANT\_SuppInfo.fas' contains adenine nucleotide transporter (ANT) sequences of *Pyura praeputialis*.